

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ARISE FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE, et al.,
Plaintiffs

V.

CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, et al.,
Defendants

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 05-30080-MAP

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE Ponsor

[] The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

[X] On November 14 and 28, 2006, I held the following ADR proceeding:

 SCREENING CONFERENCE

 EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

 X MEDIATION

 SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL

 MINI-TRIAL

 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

[X] All parties were represented by counsel.

[X] The parties were present in person, by telephone or by authorized corporate officer or representative

The case was:

[] Settled. A day order of dismissal has been entered.

[X] There was progress. A further ADR conference has been set for December 6, 2006.

[] Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

[] Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

[] Other: _____

November 28, 2006
DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman
ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, Chief Magistrate Judge